VLTI Summer School 2021

Introduction to interferometry

David Buscher 2021-06-07

How to learn interferometry The phase-coherent interferometer Making fringes From fringes to images The atmosphere and quantum noise Interferometry in practice

The need for

interferometry

Science consists of solving inverse problems



Hard

Degeneracies mean that the inverse is not unique



We need to take measurements such that different models predict different data

Bayes' theorem formalises this:

 $P(\text{model}|\text{data}) \propto P(\text{data}|\text{model})P(\text{model})$

Angular resolution is the sensitivity to structure on small spatial scales



The resolution of a conventional telescope is limited by diffraction



Below a given separation, two stars are indistinguishable from one brighter star

An ELT is not big enough



 $d = 39 \text{ m}, \lambda = 2.2 \ \mu \text{m} \rightarrow 1.22 \lambda/d = 14 \text{ milliarcsec}$

The Sun at 10 pc is 0.9 mas



The Earth forming at 150 pc is 6 mas



AGN dust tori are a few mas



We need measurements which are sensitive to structure on (sub-)mas scales



Making fringes



incoming beams



(a)

We can derive the interferometric measurement equation in three steps

The fringe pattern for 1 star

The fringe pattern for 2 stars

The fringe pattern for an arbitrary object

One star



In the optical we normally talk about Optical Path Difference (OPD)

 $\tau_{\text{ext,2}} - \tau_{\text{ext,1}} = \text{OPD}_{\text{ext}}/c = B\cos\theta/c$

A beam combiner allows us to sample a range of time delays



We represent light waves in terms of complex coefficients

$$\begin{split} E_0 &\propto & \operatorname{Re} \left[\Psi_0 e^{-2\pi i \nu t} \right] \\ E_1(x) &\propto & \operatorname{Re} \left[\Psi_1(x) e^{-2\pi i \nu t} \right] \\ E_2(x) &\propto & \operatorname{Re} \left[\Psi_2(x) e^{-2\pi i \nu t} \right] \\ \Psi_1(x) &= & \Psi_0 e^{2\pi i \nu [\tau_{\text{ext},1} + \tau_{\text{int},1} + \tau_{\text{BC},1}(x)]} \\ \Psi_2(x) &= & \Psi_0 e^{2\pi i \nu [\tau_{\text{ext},2} + \tau_{\text{int},2} + \tau_{\text{BC},2}(x)]} \end{split}$$

The interference term depends on the phase difference of the beams

$$\begin{split} i(x) = &\epsilon_0 \left\langle (E_1(x) + E_2(x))^2 \right\rangle \\ = &\left\langle |\Psi_1(x)|^2 + |\Psi_2(x)|^2 \right\rangle \\ &+ 2 \mathrm{Re} \left[\left\langle \Psi_1(x) \Psi_2^*(x) \right\rangle \right] \end{split}$$

The phase difference can be written in terms of delay differences

$$\Psi_1(x)\Psi_2^*(x) = |\Psi_0|^2 e^{2\pi i\nu[\tau_{12}+\tau_{BC,12}(x)]}$$

where

$$\tau_{12} = (\tau_{\text{ext},1} - \tau_{\text{ext},2}) + (\tau_{\text{int},1} - \tau_{\text{int},2})$$

$$\tau_{\text{BC},12}(X) = \tau_{\text{BC},1}(X) - \tau_{\text{BC},2}(X).$$

The phase of the sinusoidal fringe pattern depends on $\tau_{\rm 12}$

$$i(x) = 2F_0 \left(1 + \operatorname{Re}\left[e^{\mathrm{i}\phi_{12}}e^{2\pi\mathrm{i}sx}\right]\right)$$

where

$$F_0 = |\Psi_0|^2$$

$$\phi_{12} = 2\pi\nu\tau_{12}$$

$$S = \nu\tau_{BC,12}(X)/X$$

The phase of the fringes changes if the star moves

A star at direction θ_0 is at the phase centre if $\tau_{12} = 0$. For a star offset by $\Delta \theta$ from the phase centre:

$$\begin{aligned} c\tau_{12} &= B\cos(\theta_0 + \Delta\theta) - B\cos\theta_0 \\ &\approx -\Delta\theta B\sin\theta_0 \\ \Rightarrow \phi_{12} &= -2\pi u \Delta\theta \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u = B \sin \theta_0 / \lambda.$$



Two stars





The fringe pattern depends on the angular offset and not just the intensities $i(x) = F_a \left(1 + \operatorname{Re}\left[e^{2\pi i s x}\right]\right) + F_b \left(1 + \operatorname{Re}\left[e^{2\pi i (\Delta \theta u + s x)}\right]\right)$ $= F_a + F_b + \operatorname{Re}\left[\left(F_a + F_b e^{2\pi i \Delta \theta u}\right) e^{2\pi i s x}\right]$



We are sensitive to source structure on small angular scales

Noticeable effect when $\Delta \theta \gtrsim 1/u \approx \lambda/B$

This is the same as the resolution of a telescope of diameter $\sim B$.

If B = 100 m and $\lambda = 500$ nm then $\lambda/B \approx 1$ mas

Arbitrary 2-D object

Vector formulation




For small fields of view, only *u* and *v* matter

$$\tau_{12} = \mathbf{B}_{12} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}/c - \mathbf{B}_{12} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_0/c,$$

$$= \mathbf{B}_{12} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}/c \quad \text{where } \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \hat{\mathbf{S}} - \hat{\mathbf{S}}_0$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_{12} = 2\pi \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \quad \text{where } \boldsymbol{u} = \mathbf{B}_{12}/\lambda$$

$$\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = ul + vm + nw$$

$$n \approx \frac{1}{2}(l^2 + m^2) \ll |\boldsymbol{\sigma}| \quad \text{if } l, m \ll 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \approx ul + vm$$

Hereafter write u = (u, v) and $\sigma = (l, m)$

We sum the fringe patterns from all sources

$$i(x) = \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} l(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \left(1 + \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{-2\pi i \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}} e^{2\pi i s x}\right\}\right) dl dm$$
$$= F(0) + \operatorname{Re}\left[F(\boldsymbol{u}) e^{2\pi i s x}\right]$$

where

$$F(\boldsymbol{u}) = \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} I(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) e^{-2\pi i \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}} dl dm$$
$$\Rightarrow F(0) = \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} I(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) dl dm$$

F(u) weights the object $I(\sigma)$ with a sinusoidal "mask" and sums the result



m







Nomenclature

F(u): coherent flux, correlated flux

 $V(u) \equiv F(u)/F(0)$: object (complex) visibility

V₁₂: fringe (complex) visibility

|V(u)|: object visibility (modulus)

|V₁₂|: fringe contrast, fringe visibility (modulus)

Michelson fringe visibility



Coherence and fringes

Mutual intensity of two beams Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 :

 $M_{12} = \langle \Psi_1 \Psi_2^* \rangle$

Degree of coherence:

$$C_{12} = \frac{M_{12}}{\sqrt{M_{11}M_{22}}}.$$

Interference measures cross-correlation: $i(x) = \left\langle |\Psi_1(x)|^2 + |\Psi_2(x)|^2 \right\rangle \\
+ 2\text{Re}\left[\left\langle \Psi_1(x)\Psi_2^*(x) \right\rangle \right]$

Polychromatic interferometry



The coherence length varies inversely with the bandwidth



A wide bandwidth can limit the field of view



From fringes to images

Fourier transforms

Can compose any function *f* from sine waves:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\mathbf{s}) e^{2\pi i \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \, ds_x \, ds_y$$

where coefficients are

$$g(\mathbf{s}) = \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{x}) e^{-2\pi \mathrm{i} \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \, dx \, dy.$$

Fourier transform $g(\mathbf{s}) = \mathcal{F}[f(\mathbf{x})]$

Inverse Fourier transform: $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[g(\mathbf{s})]$

A fringe measurement measures a single Fourier component of the image

$$F(oldsymbol{u}) = \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} I(oldsymbol{\sigma}) e^{-2\pi \mathrm{i} oldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot oldsymbol{u}} \, \mathrm{d} I \, \mathrm{d} m$$

is equivalent to $\mathit{F}(u) = \mathcal{F}\left[\mathit{I}(\sigma)
ight]$

If we measure $F(u) \forall u$ we can in principle invert this.

Better to first develop your Bayesian intuition: what models give what data?

Point source



$$egin{array}{rll} l(m{\sigma}) &\propto & \delta(m{\sigma} - m{\sigma}_0) \ \Rightarrow F(m{u}) &\propto & e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}m{u}\cdotm{\sigma}_0} \end{array}$$

Binary star system



The binary star Capella (Hummel+ 1994)

801nm



UT

Uniform disc



Vega (Absil+ 2006)



Gaussian disc



Objects offset from the phase centre

Convolution theorem: $\mathcal{F} \{f * g\} = FG$ where $F(\mathbf{s}) = \mathcal{F}\{f(\mathbf{x})\}$ and $G(\mathbf{s}) = \mathcal{F}\{g(\mathbf{x})\}$, where

$$(f_1 * f_2)(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \iint_{\text{All space}} f_1(\mathbf{x}') f_2(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \, dA$$

$$f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) = f(\mathbf{x}) * \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \{ f(\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0) \} = F e^{2\pi i \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0}$$

Rules of thumb

 $V(0) = 1 \text{ and } |V(u)| \le 1$

Significant deviations from |V| = 1 ("resolved") when $B \gtrsim \lambda/\theta$

Sharp-edged structures show "ringing" sidelobes

Symmetric objects have real visibility functions

For all objects $V(-u) = V^*(u)$ (Hermitian symmetry)

How to sample the

Fourier plane

We can change the baseline by moving the telescopes



Earth rotation is carbon-neutral telescope transportation



Multiple telescopes sample many (u, v) points





$$N_{\rm bas} = N_{\rm tel}(N_{\rm tel} - 1)/2$$

We typically use multiple telescopes in tandem with Earth rotation



Observing in multiple spectral channels gives additional coverage



How does finite

sampling affect the

image?

Fourier inversion leads to a "dirty image" The data sampled at locations $\{u_k\}$ is $\hat{F}(u) = F(u) \sum_k \delta(u - u_k)$

The "synthesised image"/"dirty image" is

$$\hat{l}(\sigma) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\hat{F}(\boldsymbol{u}) \right]$$

$$= l(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) * b(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$$

where $b(\sigma)$ is the "dirty beam"

$$b(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\sum_{k} \delta(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_{k})\right]$$

Angular resolution depends on the maximum baseline



$$(u, v)$$
 coverage
 $|u| < u_{\max}$

dirty beam FWHM $\sim 1/u_{max}$

Field of view depends on the density of sampling



Deconvolution can ameliorate imperfections in the sampling



Must still meet minimum sampling criteria to get an adequate image

Longest baselines $u_{\rm max} \gtrsim 1/\theta_{\rm min}$

Largest sampling "holes" $\Delta u \stackrel{<}{{}_\sim} 1/ heta_{\max}$

Atmospheric seeing
Turbulent mixing of existing gradients of refractive index causes random wavefront perturbations



The spatial structure is fractal





The corrugations are dominated by loworder spatial modes



We can define a characteristic spatial scale

$$D_{\phi}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') \equiv \left\langle \left| \phi(\mathbf{r}'+\mathbf{r}) - \phi(\mathbf{r}') \right|^2 \right\rangle$$

$$D_{\phi}(\mathbf{r}) = 6.88(r/r_0)^{5/3}$$

Images are blurred on scales $\sim \lambda/r_0$



$\lambda/r_0 \approx$ 1 arcsec for $\lambda =$ 500 nm, $r_0 =$ 10 cm

Temporal seeing can be modelled from assuming "frozen turbulence"

$$D_{\phi}(t) \equiv \left\langle \left| \phi(\boldsymbol{r}, t' + t) - \phi(\boldsymbol{r}, t') \right|^2 \right\rangle = (t/t_0)^{5/3}$$

$$t_0 = 0.314 r_0 / v \sim \text{milliseconds}$$

https://share.streamlit.io/dbuscher/
megascreen/tests/demos/streamlit_
movie.py

First-order effects on

interferometers

The differential piston between telescopes is hundreds of wavelengths



Visibility phase is "meaningless" Visibility modulus is the only good observable

We need the phase to make images





 $i_{12}(x, y)$







 $i_2(x, y)$

 $i_{21}(x, y)$

Closure phase

$\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$ object visibility phases ϕ_{ij}

N-1 unknown phase perturbations ϵ_i

Can solve for $\frac{1}{2}(N-1)(N-2)$ perturbation -independent terms - "closure phases"



$$\begin{split} \Phi(12) &= \phi(12) + \varepsilon(1) - \varepsilon(2) \\ \Phi(23) &= \phi(23) + \varepsilon(2) - \varepsilon(3) \\ \Phi(31) &= \phi(31) + \varepsilon(3) - \varepsilon(1) \\ \hline \Phi(12) + \Phi(23) + \Phi(31) &= \phi(12) + \phi(23) + \phi(31) \end{split}$$

Binary star example



84



(Spectral) differential phase can retrieve wavelength-dependent structure



frequency

Higher-order effects

Fringes smear out if the exposure time is too long



The fringes distort if the aperture is too large



Adaptive optics can correct the spatial fluctuations



Tip/tilt correction is 90% of the battle



AO allows you to use larger apertures



Spatial filtering is "passive AO"



Single-mode fibres are perfect spatial filters



AO increases light coupling



Fringe tracking is "piston AO"



Cophasing and coherencing

Ideally, we want to track the piston to $\ll \lambda$ to "freeze" the fringe phase and have long integrations (cophasing or coherent integration).

At low light levels, the fringe tracker fails

Can still use a "group delay" tracker for "coherencing" — keep the fringe "envelope" centred.

Measurement noise

and data reduction

The degeneracy parameter of optical radiation sources is tiny

Radio $h\nu/kT \lesssim 0.5$ Optical $h\nu/kT \gtrsim 5$



Coherent optical amplifiers are useless so we can't split light between many baselines



We need to detect un-amplified fringe intensities



The detected fringe pattern is discrete and noisy



We can model the detected interferogram in terms of the noiseless fringe pattern Λ

$$\Lambda_{p} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{pix}}} \left(\overline{N}_{\text{phot}} + \text{Re} \left\{ F_{ij} e^{2\pi i s_{ij} p} \right\} \right)$$
$$i_{p} = \Lambda_{p} + n_{p}$$

The noise on the fringe parameters is the sum of the noise on each pixel

Using a Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) to extract *F_{ij}*

$$\hat{F}_{ij} = 2 \sum_{p=0}^{N_{\text{pix}}-1} i_p e^{-2\pi i s_{ij} p}$$

$$\hat{F}_{ij} = F_{ij} + n_{ij}$$

$$n_{ij} = 2 \sum_{p=0}^{N_{\text{pix}}-1} n_p e^{-2\pi i s_{ij} p}$$



Low fringe visibility is more of a problem than low flux

$$ext{SNR}(\hat{F}_{ij}) = rac{\left|\left\langle \hat{F}_{ij} \right
angle \right|}{\sigma_{ij}}$$

In the photon-noise-dominated regime:

$$\mathrm{SNR}(\hat{F}_{ij}) \approx \frac{1}{2} |V_{ij}| \sqrt{\overline{N}_{\mathrm{phot}}},$$

There is a maximum SNR we can reach in a single exposure



We need to average the results from many exposures

Can have many 1000s of interferograms in a few-minute observation

If we have a reliable source of phase data, can do "coherent integration", effectively increasing the exposure time

Then do "incoherent integration" — average power spectrum ($|F|^2$) and bispectrum.

Don't average the closure phase!


The bispectrum (triple product)

$$T_{pqr} = T(\boldsymbol{u}_{pq}, \boldsymbol{u}_{qr}) = F(\boldsymbol{u}_{pq})F(\boldsymbol{u}_{qr})F(-\boldsymbol{u}_{pq} - \boldsymbol{u}_{qr})$$
$$\arg(T_{pqr}) = \phi_{pq} + \phi_{qr} + \phi_{rp}$$

The fringe visibility depends on the seeing



We use measurements of stars with known $|V(u_{ij})|$ to calibrate the transfer function

$$\left\langle \left| \hat{V}_{ij} \right|^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle \left| \gamma_{ij} \right|^2 \right\rangle |V(\boldsymbol{u}_{ij})|^2$$

Assume that the transfer function $\langle |\gamma_{ij}|^2 \rangle$ is stable between observations of the target and calibrator stars.

At mid-IR wavelengths, we can calibrate the coherent flux F_{ij} rather than the visibility V_{ij}

It is best to bracket the target with calibrators



Interferometers in

practice

Interferometric facilities

NPOI: 6×12 cm collectors, max baseline 450 m

CHARA: 6×1 m telescopes, max baseline 330 m

VLTI: 4×8 m UTs + 4×1.8 m ATs, max baseline 200 m

MROI: 10×1.4 m telescopes, max baseline 350 m





Beam relay



Array layout



Delay lines



Beam combiners



Dispersed fringes



Aperture masking



We have built a "forward model" of an interferometric measurement



Now we just need to solve our inverse problem

- 1. Model our targets (YSOs etc)
- 2. Model our observations (ASPRO)
- 3. Observation and data reduction
- 4. Model-fitting & image reconstruction