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## The need for

interferometry

Science consists of solving inverse problems


Degeneracies mean that the inverse is not unique

Model space
Data space


## We need to take measurements such that different models predict different data

Bayes' theorem formalises this:
$P($ model $\mid$ data $) \propto P($ data $\mid$ model $) P($ model $)$

## Angular resolution is the sensitivity to structure on small spatial scales



## The resolution of a conventional telescope is limited by diffraction



## Below a given separation, two stars are indistinguishable from one brighter star



## An ELT is not big enough


$d=39 \mathrm{~m}, \lambda=2.2 \mu \mathrm{~m} \rightarrow 1.22 \lambda / d=14$ milliarcsec

## The Sun at 10 pc is 0.9 mas



The Earth forming at 150 pc is 6 mas


## AGN dust tori are a few mas



## We need measurements which are sensitive to structure on (sub-)mas scales


Making fringes

incoming beams

(c)
(b)
(a)

## We can derive the interferometric measurement equation in three steps

The fringe pattern for 1 star

The fringe pattern for 2 stars

The fringe pattern for an arbitrary object

One star

## An interferometer adds time-delayed light

 beams

## In the optical we normally talk about Optical Path Difference (OPD)

$$
\tau_{\mathrm{ext}, 2}-\tau_{\mathrm{ext}, 1}=\mathrm{OPD}_{\mathrm{ext}} / \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{B} \cos \theta / \mathrm{C}
$$

## A beam combiner allows us to sample a range of time delays

incoming beams


## We represent light waves in terms of complex coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{0} & \propto \operatorname{Re}\left[\Psi_{0} e^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} \nu t}\right] \\
E_{1}(x) & \propto \operatorname{Re}\left[\Psi_{1}(x) e^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} \nu t}\right] \\
E_{2}(x) & \propto \operatorname{Re}\left[\Psi_{2}(x) e^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} \nu t}\right] \\
\Psi_{1}(x) & =\Psi_{0} e^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \nu\left[\tau_{\mathrm{ext}, 1}+\tau_{\mathrm{int}, 1}+\tau_{\mathrm{BC}, 1}(x)\right]} \\
\Psi_{2}(x) & =\Psi_{0} e^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \nu\left[\tau_{\mathrm{ext}, 2}+\tau_{\mathrm{int}, 2}+\tau_{\mathrm{BC}, 2}(x)\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

The interference term depends on the phase difference of the beams

$$
\begin{aligned}
i(x)= & \epsilon_{0}\left\langle\left(E_{1}(x)+E_{2}(x)\right)^{2}\right\rangle \\
= & \left.\left.\langle | \Psi_{1}(x)\right|^{2}+\left|\Psi_{2}(x)\right|^{2}\right\rangle \\
& +2 \operatorname{Re}\left[\left\langle\Psi_{1}(x) \Psi_{2}^{*}(x)\right\rangle\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The phase difference can be written in terms of delay differences

$$
\Psi_{1}(x) \Psi_{2}^{*}(x)=\left|\Psi_{0}\right|^{2} e^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \nu\left[\tau_{12}+\tau_{\mathrm{BC}, 12}(x)\right]}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{12} & =\left(\tau_{\mathrm{ext}, 1}-\tau_{\mathrm{ext}, 2}\right)+\left(\tau_{\mathrm{int}, 1}-\tau_{\mathrm{int}, 2}\right) \\
\tau_{\mathrm{BC}, 12}(x) & =\tau_{\mathrm{BC}, 1}(x)-\tau_{\mathrm{BC}, 2}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The phase of the sinusoidal fringe pattern depends on $\tau_{12}$

$$
i(x)=2 F_{0}\left(1+\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{\mathrm{i} \phi_{1}} e^{2 \pi \mathrm{is} x}\right]\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{0} & =\left|\psi_{0}\right|^{2} \\
\phi_{12} & =2 \pi \nu \tau_{12} \\
s & =\nu \tau_{B c, 12}(x) / x
\end{aligned}
$$

## The phase of the fringes changes if the star

 movesA star at direction $\theta_{0}$ is at the phase centre if $\tau_{12}=0$. For a star offset by $\Delta \theta$ from the phase centre:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c \tau_{12} & =B \cos \left(\theta_{0}+\Delta \theta\right)-B \cos \theta_{0} \\
& \approx-\Delta \theta B \sin \theta_{0} \\
\Rightarrow \phi_{12} & =-2 \pi u \Delta \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
u=B \sin \theta_{0} / \lambda
$$



Two stars



## The fringe pattern depends on the angular

 offset and not just the intensities$$
\begin{aligned}
i(x) & =F_{a}\left(1+\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{2 \pi \mathrm{isx}}\right]\right)+F_{b}\left(1+\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{2 \pi i(\Delta \theta u+s x}\right]\right) \\
& =F_{a}+F_{b}+\operatorname{Re}\left[\left(F_{a}+F_{b} e^{2 \pi i \Delta \theta u}\right) e^{2 \pi \mathrm{isx}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$



## We are sensitive to source structure on small angular scales

Noticeable effect when $\Delta \theta \gtrsim 1 / u \approx \lambda / B$

This is the same as the resolution of a telescope of diameter $\sim B$.

If $B=100 \mathrm{~m}$ and $\lambda=500 \mathrm{~nm}$ then $\lambda / B \approx 1 \mathrm{mas}$

Arbitrary 2-D object

## Vector formulation

To star


## We use axes based on the tangent plane at

 the phase centre
## NCP



## For small fields of view, only $u$ and $v$ matter

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{12} & =B_{12} \cdot \hat{S} / c-B_{12} \cdot \hat{S}_{0} / c, \\
& =B_{12} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} / \mathrm{c} \quad \text { where } \boldsymbol{\sigma}=\hat{S}-\hat{S}_{0} \\
\Rightarrow \phi_{12} & =2 \pi u \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \quad \text { where } u=B_{12} / \lambda \\
u \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} & =u l+\mathrm{vm}+n \mathrm{w} \\
n & \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(l^{2}+m^{2}\right) \ll|\boldsymbol{\sigma}| \quad \text { if } l, m \ll 1 \\
\Rightarrow u \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} & \approx u l+v m
\end{aligned}
$$

Hereafter write $u=(u, v)$ and $\sigma=(l, m)$

## We sum the fringe patterns from all sources

$$
\begin{aligned}
i(x) & =\iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} l(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\left(1+\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{-2 \pi i \boldsymbol{i} \cdot u} e^{2 \pi i s x}\right\}\right) d l d m \\
& =F(0)+\operatorname{Re}\left[F(u) e^{2 \pi i s x}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(u) & =\iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} I(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) e^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} \cdot \cdot u} d l d m \\
\Rightarrow F(0) & =\iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} I(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) d l d m
\end{aligned}
$$

$F(u)$ weights the object $I(\sigma)$ with a sinusoidal "mask" and sums the result




## Nomenclature

$F(u)$ : coherent flux, correlated flux
$V(u) \equiv F(u) / F(0)$ : object (complex) visibility
$V_{12}$ : fringe (complex) visibility
|V(u)|: object visibility (modulus)
$\left|V_{12}\right|$ : fringe contrast, fringe visibility (modulus)

## Michelson fringe visibility



$$
|V|=0.1
$$

## Coherence and fringes

Mutual intensity of two beams $\Psi_{1}$ and $\Psi_{2}$ :

$$
M_{12}=\left\langle\Psi_{1} \Psi_{2}^{*}\right\rangle
$$

Degree of coherence:

$$
C_{12}=\frac{M_{12}}{\sqrt{M_{11} M_{22}}}
$$

Interference measures cross-correlation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
i(x)= & \left.\left.\langle | \Psi_{1}(x)\right|^{2}+\left|\Psi_{2}(x)\right|^{2}\right\rangle \\
& +2 \operatorname{Re}\left[\left\langle\Psi_{1}(x) \Psi_{2}^{*}(x)\right\rangle\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Polychromatic interferometry



## The coherence length varies inversely with the bandwidth






## A wide bandwidth can limit the field of view



## From fringes to images

## Fourier transforms

Can compose any function $f$ from sine waves:

$$
f(x)=\iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(s) e^{2 \pi i s \cdot x} d s_{x} d s_{y}
$$

where coefficients are

$$
g(s)=\iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2 \pi i s \cdot x} d x d y
$$

Fourier transform $g(s)=\mathcal{F}[f(x)]$
Inverse Fourier transform: $f(x)=\mathcal{F}^{-1}[g(s)]$

A fringe measurement measures a single Fourier component of the image

$$
F(u)=\iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} I(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) e^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot u} d l d m
$$

is equivalent to $F(u)=\mathcal{F}[I(\boldsymbol{\sigma})]$
If we measure $F(u) \forall u$ we can in principle invert this.
Better to first develop your Bayesian intuition: what models give what data?

## Point source




$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(\boldsymbol{\sigma})
\end{aligned} \propto \delta\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0}\right)
$$

## Binary star system





## The binary star Capella (Hummel+ 1994)

801 nm


## Uniform disc



## Vega (Absil+ 2006)



## Gaussian disc



## Objects offset from the phase centre

Convolution theorem: $\mathcal{F}\{f * g\}=F G$ where $F(s)=\mathcal{F}\{f(x)\}$ and $G(s)=\mathcal{F}\{g(x)\}$, where

$$
\left(f_{1} * f_{2}\right)(x) \equiv \iint_{\text {All space }} f_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) f_{2}\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) d A
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x-x_{0}\right) & =f(x) * \delta\left(x-x_{0}\right) \\
\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}\left\{f\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0}\right)\right\} & =F e^{2 \pi i \mathrm{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Rules of thumb

$V(0)=1$ and $|V(u)| \leq 1$
Significant deviations from $|V|=1$ ("resolved") when $B \gtrsim \lambda / \theta$

Sharp-edged structures show "ringing" sidelobes
Symmetric objects have real visibility functions
For all objects $V(-u)=V^{*}(u)$ (Hermitian symmetry)

## How to sample the <br> Fourier plane

## We can change the baseline by moving the telescopes



## Earth rotation is carbon-neutral telescope transportation



Multiple telescopes sample many (u,v) points

(1)
(2)
(3)
(4)


$$
N_{\text {bas }}=N_{\text {tel }}\left(N_{\text {tel }}-1\right) / 2
$$

## We typically use multiple telescopes in tandem with Earth rotation




## Observing in multiple spectral channels gives additional coverage



$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { How does finite } \\
\text { sampling affect the } \\
\text { image? }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Fourier inversion leads to a "dirty image"

The data sampled at locations $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is

$$
\hat{F}(u)=F(u) \sum_{k} \delta\left(u-u_{k}\right)
$$

The "synthesised image"/"dirty image" is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{I}(\sigma) & =\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\hat{F}(u)] \\
& =I(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) * b(\boldsymbol{\sigma})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ is the "dirty beam"

$$
b(\boldsymbol{\sigma})=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\sum_{k} \delta\left(u-u_{k}\right)\right] .
$$

## Angular resolution depends on the maximum baseline


$(u, v)$ coverage
$|u|<u_{\text {max }}$

dirty beam
FWHM $\sim 1 / u_{\text {max }}$

Field of view depends on the density of sampling


## Deconvolution can ameliorate imperfections in the sampling



# Must still meet minimum sampling criteria to get an adequate image 

Longest baselines $u_{\max } \gtrsim 1 / \theta_{\text {min }}$

Largest sampling "holes" $\Delta u \lesssim 1 / \theta_{\max }$

Atmospheric seeing

## Turbulent mixing of existing gradients of refractive index causes random wavefront perturbations



Incoming plane

wavefronts

Earth's atmosphere

Turbulent eddies
"Corrugated" wavefronts

## The spatial structure is fractal




The corrugations are dominated by loworder spatial modes


## We can define a characteristic spatial scale

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.D_{\phi}\left(r, r^{\prime}\right) \equiv\langle | \phi\left(r^{\prime}+r\right)-\left.\phi\left(r^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\right\rangle \\
D_{\phi}(r)=6.88\left(r / r_{0}\right)^{5 / 3}
\end{gathered}
$$

Images are blurred on scales $\sim \lambda / r_{0}$

$\lambda / r_{0} \approx 1 \operatorname{arcsec}$ for $\lambda=500 \mathrm{~nm}, r_{0}=10 \mathrm{~cm}$

## Temporal seeing can be modelled from assuming "frozen turbulence"

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.D_{\phi}(t) \equiv\langle | \phi\left(r, t^{\prime}+t\right)-\left.\phi\left(r, t^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\right\rangle=\left(t / t_{0}\right)^{5 / 3} \\
t_{0}=0.314 r_{0} / v \sim \text { milliseconds }
\end{gathered}
$$

https://share.streamlit.io/dbuscher/ megascreen/tests/demos/streamlit_ movie.py

# First-order effects on 

interferometers

## The differential piston between telescopes

 is hundreds of wavelengths

Visibility phase is "meaningless"
Visibility modulus is the only good observable

## We need the phase to make images

$i_{1}(x, y)$

$i_{2}(x, y)$
$i_{12}(x, y)$

$i_{21}(x, y)$

## Closure phase

$\frac{1}{2} N(N-1)$ object visibility phases $\phi_{i j}$
$N-1$ unknown phase perturbations $\epsilon_{j}$
Can solve for $\frac{1}{2}(N-1)(N-2)$ perturbation -independent terms - "closure phases"


## Binary star example



(Spectral) differential phase can retrieve wavelength-dependent structure

Higher-order effects

Fringes smear out if the exposure time is too long


## The fringes distort if the aperture is too large




## Adaptive optics can correct the spatial fluctuations



## Tip/tilt correction is $90 \%$ of the battle



## AO allows you to use larger apertures



## Spatial filtering is "passive AO"



## Single-mode fibres are perfect spatial filters



AO increases light coupling


## Fringe tracking is "piston AO"



## Cophasing and coherencing

Ideally, we want to track the piston to $\ll \lambda$ to "freeze" the fringe phase and have long integrations (cophasing or coherent integration).

At low light levels, the fringe tracker fails
Can still use a "group delay" tracker for
"coherencing" - keep the fringe "envelope" centred.

# Measurement noise 

and data reduction

## The degeneracy parameter of optical radiation sources is tiny

Radio $h \nu / k T \lesssim 0.5$
Optical $h \nu / k T \gtrsim 5$


## Coherent optical amplifiers are useless so we can't split light between many baselines



## We need to detect un-amplified fringe intensities



The detected fringe pattern is discrete and noisy


We can model the detected interferogram in terms of the noiseless fringe pattern $\wedge$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda_{p}=\frac{1}{N_{\text {pix }}}\left(\bar{N}_{\text {phot }}+\operatorname{Re}\left\{F_{i j} e^{2 \pi \mathrm{~s}_{j i} p}\right\}\right) \\
i_{p}=\Lambda_{p}+n_{p}
\end{gathered}
$$

The noise on the fringe parameters is the sum of the noise on each pixel
Using a Discrete Fourier
Transform (DFT) to extract $F_{i j}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{F}_{i j}=2 \sum_{p=0}^{N_{\mathrm{pix}}-1} i_{p} e^{-2 \pi \mathrm{is} s_{i j} p} \\
& \hat{F}_{i j}=F_{i j}+n_{i j} \\
& n_{i j}=2 \sum_{p=0}^{N_{\mathrm{pix}}-1} n_{p} e^{-2 \pi \mathrm{is} \mathrm{~s}_{j j} p}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Low fringe visibility is more of a problem than low flux

$$
\operatorname{SNR}\left(\hat{F}_{i j}\right)=\frac{\left|\left\langle\hat{F}_{i j}\right\rangle\right|}{\sigma_{i j}}
$$

In the photon-noise-dominated regime:

$$
\operatorname{SNR}\left(\hat{F}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right) \approx \frac{1}{2}\left|V_{i j}\right| \sqrt{\bar{N}_{\text {phot }}},
$$

## There is a maximum SNR we can reach in a

 single exposure


## We need to average the results from many

## exposures

Can have many 1000s of interferograms in a few-minute observation

If we have a reliable source of phase data, can do "coherent integration", effectively increasing the exposure time

Then do "incoherent integration" - average power spectrum $\left(|F|^{2}\right)$ and bispectrum.

## Don't average the closure phase!




## The bispectrum (triple product)

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{p q r}=T\left(u_{p q}, u_{q r}\right)=F\left(u_{p q}\right) F\left(u_{q r}\right) F\left(-u_{p q}-u_{q r}\right) \\
\arg \left(T_{p q r}\right)=\phi_{p q}+\phi_{q r}+\phi_{r p}
\end{gathered}
$$

## The fringe visibility depends on the seeing



# We use measurements of stars with known 

 $\left|V\left(u_{i j}\right)\right|$ to calibrate the transfer function$$
\left.\left.\left.\langle | \hat{v}_{i j}\right|^{2}\right\rangle=\left.\langle | \gamma_{i j}\right|^{2}\right\rangle\left|V\left(u_{i j}\right)\right|^{2}
$$

Assume that the transfer function $\left.\left.\langle | \gamma_{i j}\right|^{2}\right\rangle$ is stable between observations of the target and calibrator stars.
At mid-IR wavelengths, we can calibrate the coherent flux $F_{i j}$ rather than the visibility $V_{i j}$

## It is best to bracket the target with calibra-

 tors

# Interferometers in 

practice

## Interferometric facilities

NPOI: $6 \times 12 \mathrm{~cm}$ collectors, max baseline 450 m

CHARA: $6 \times 1 \mathrm{~m}$ telescopes, max baseline 330 m

VLTI: $4 \times 8$ m UTs + $4 \times 1.8$ m ATs, max baseline 200 m

MROI: $10 \times 1.4$ m telescopes, max baseline 350 m

## Collectors



## Beam relay



## Array layout



## Delay lines



## Beam combiners



Dispersed fringes


## Aperture masking



## We have built a "forward model" of an interferometric measurement



## Now we just need to solve our inverse prob-

 lem1. Model our targets (YSOs etc)
2. Model our observations (ASPRO)
3. Observation and data reduction
4. Model-fitting \& image reconstruction
