



Astrometry with HST

Gerard van Belle PRIMA Instrument Scientist 3 Jun 2008

(with substantial inputs from – and thanks to – Fritz Benedict)



Why HST FGS?



European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere



- Calibrating the Galactic Cepheid period-luminosity (PL) relationship were primary missions of the space astrometric satellites FAME and DIVA
 - FAME and DIVA got cancelled
- The very distant promise of the Space Interferometry Mission and GAIA
 - SIM, to be launched in 2015(?), with preliminary results due by 2018
 - GAIA, launch in 2012 and final results by 2020
- Benedict et al proposed to reintroduce Galactic Cepheids as a fundamental step in the extragalactic distance scale many years before our other spacebased competition
- Only means to do ~mas astrometry on faint sources





Why Important?

Cepheid are attractive Standard Candles

- Cepheids are among the brightest stellar distance indicators
- These `standard candles' are relatively young stars, found in abundance in spiral galaxies
- For extragalactic distance determinations many independent objects can be observed in a single galaxy
- Their large amplitudes and characteristic (sawtooth) light curve shapes facilitate their discovery and identification







Why Important? Cepheids are easy to observe

- Cepheids have long lifetimes, and hence can be reobserved at other times and other wavelengths (unlike supernovae, for example)
- The Cepheid PLR has a small scatter. In the I band, the dispersion amounts to only ~0.1 mag (Udalski et al. 1999)
- Fortunately (for Benedict et al!), an accurate geometric calibration of the PL relation, at any given metallicity, had not yet been established







Why Important?

Current calibration is leveraged thru LMC/SMC

 Cepheid absolute magnitude apparently depends on metallicity

- LMC and SMC have non-solar metallicities

- Intent of Benedict et al. was to produce a PLR calibration using solar metallicity Cepheids
 - A solar metallicity PLR can be applied directly to extragalactic Cepheids
- This will remove the need to step through the LMC/SMC
- Very few long-period local Cepheids have distances measured
 - ℓ Car is ideal (P~35^d)
 - Will be treated as a illustrative example for this talk



The Distance Scale Ladder





1997:02:19 07:06:57



FGS Kösters Prism



European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere





FGS PMT Detectors



European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere



 Two PMTs sample interference signal







FGS Detector Response



S = (A-B)/(A+B)

+ES+ 0 +

European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere



The Astrometry Model

A 3d volume of space is modeled using GaussFit (Jefferys, McArthur, & Fitzpatrick 1988, *Cel Mech*, **41**, 39)

Model requires as input (with variances)

Lateral Color Calibration - FGS contains refractive optics. Position of a blue star is displaced relative to the position it would have, if it were red.

B-V Color Indices - required for lateral color correction.

Cross Filter Calibration- targets with V < 9 require a neutral density 5 (ND5) filter. Filter wedge displaces the position of the FGS interferometer fringe. Bright star position must be corrected. Depends on star color.

Reference Frame Spectrophotometric Parallaxes - from spectral types and photometry data. Required to obtain absolute parallax for the science target.

Solution process is allowed to adjust these input parameters (by amounts depending on their variances) to find the 'best' solution. In other words calibrations and any other prior knowledge about the reference frame go into the model as <u>observations with error</u>.





l Car Reference Frame Spectrophotometric Parallaxes

- Photometry
 - FGS (V)
 - SAAO (UBVRI)
 - 2MASS (JHK)
 - McDonald/UVa (Washington DDO)
- Color-color diagrams
 - Mapping to Spectral type from Bessell 1988 (PASP, 100, 1134)
 - J-H vs H-K and J-H vs V-K, for example
 - M DDO51 vs M T_2





l Car Reference Frame Spectrophotometric Parallaxes

- Spectral Typing and Luminosity
 Classification
 - SAAO estimates ±2 subtypes
- M_V and (B-V)₀ (V-I)₀, (V-R)₀, (V-K)₀ from AQ2000
- A_V from our photometry
- Additional luminosity class confirmation from reduced proper motion diagram
- Spect. Parallaxes (m-M = 5logd 5, $\pi = 1/d$) $\pi_{sp} = 1/(10^{(V - Mv + 5 - Av)/5})$

Field of View of FGS



European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere









FOV After Multiple Visits









Another Complication

Transforming Parallax to Absolute Magnitude (in the presence of noise)





Lutz-Kelker Bias



- Assuming uniform distribution for this class of object
- ±0.5 milliarcsec error
- Frequentist's viewpoint for a given error you are more likely to have underestimated the distance (measured an object that is really more distant)
- LK bias proportional to $(\sigma_{\pi}/\pi)^2$
- \bullet It is a correction to any absolute magnitude, e.g., $\rm M_V$

03.06.2008





The Absolute Magnitude of a Cepheid

- Lutz-Kelker Bias
 - scales as $(\sigma_{\pi}/\pi)^2$
 - and depends on an assumed distribution of evolved stars, which is taken to be
 - $n(r) \sim const.$
- For ℓ Car
 - $-\pi_{ABS}$ = 2.01 ± 0.20 mas,
 - $\sigma_{\pi}/\pi = 0.10,$
 - and the LK bias correction,
 - LKB = -0.08 mag.

Calibrating a Candle ^ℓ Car Parallax

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}' &= \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{l}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{V}) - \Delta\mathbf{X}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y}' &= \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{l}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{V}) - \Delta\mathbf{X}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{y} \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} &= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}' + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}' + \mathbf{C} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}}\Delta\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{P}_{\alpha}\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \boldsymbol{\eta} &= -\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}' + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}' + \mathbf{F} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{y}}\Delta\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{P}_{\delta}\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{y}} \end{aligned}$

yields π_{abs} = 2.01 ± 0.20 mas

HIPPARCOS π_{abs} = 2.06 ± 0.27 mas (from the redo; van Leeuwen et al 2007) A_V = 0.52±0.06 A_K = 0.06±0.01 m-M = -5 log π_{abs} -5 +A - LKB Solve for absolute magnitude,

 M_V =-5.35 ± 0.22 M_K =-7.55 ± 0.21

Gerard van Belle - HST FGS







European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere





Do for all Cepheids and a selection of bandpasses

Galactic Cepheid PLR with N=10 objects

M = a + b (logP-1)







Tests

 So, who's right about H₀? Sandage (~62km/s/Mpc) or Freedman (~72km/s/Mpc)?

• NGC 4258



scale

(arbitrary

magnitude

_

Gerard van Belle - HST FGS







Adopting our PLR would tend to increase the Sandage H_0 value, but **leave the Freedman H₀ unchanged**.

NGC 4258

-Contains Cepheids

-Contains a black hole orbited by masers that emit radio waves

-Radio astronomers have measured the velocities and motions of those objects with VLBI

-Comparing the velocity with the amount of motion on the sky yields a distance

-That distance agrees 'exactly' with our distance obtained by comparing our Cepheids to those in the galaxy

NGC 4258 Masers









W_{VI} band

NGC 4258

•

- Macri has applied our
 W(VI) PLR to 85 of his
 inner-field Cepheids and
 finds m-M = 29.21 ± 0.02
- Herrnstein et al. (1999)
 get <u>m-M = 29.29 ± 0.15</u>
 from masers
- Using our LMC m-M* and the Macri et al. (2006) differential (LMC-NGC 4258) modulus yields <u>m-M = 29.28 ± 0.08</u>



How far away is the LMC?







LMC Cepheid PLR from preened (from Kanbur et al 2003) OGLE photometry







•We derive an uncorrected m-M = 18.50 ± 0.03 for the LMC.

- •Metallicity corrections
 - Macri et al. (2006), comparing near-nucleus Cepheids with those at the edge of NGC 4258, get a correction in W_{VI} , γ = -0.29 ± 0.11 for 1 dex in metallicity
 - •Kennicutt et al. (1998) get for M101 γ = -0.24 ± 0.16 for 1 dex in metallicity



Fig. 3.—Color composite of the *HST* ACS/WFC inner field of NGC 4258. 03.06.2008



Gerard van



European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere

- Groenewegen etal. (2004) find LMC metallicity of -0.36 dex
- Weighted average of Macri & Kennicutt γ corrections yields LMC distance modulus correction of -0.10 ±0.03 • Therefore, LMC (m-M)₀ = 18.40 ±0.05

•Compare with eclipsing binary results (Fitzpatrick et al 2003), $(m-M)_0 = 18.42$







European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere



Distance scale summary

Demonstrated that Galactic Cepheids are again a useful distance scale tool

LMC distance modulus m-M = 18.40 ± 0.05 (details on request)

For NGC 4258 the maser and Cepheid distances agree

Have aided calibration of other techniques to increase numbers of long-period Cepheids in the PLR

Have done such a good job that the Cycle 16 HST TAC awarded Benedict et al. >100 orbits to measure RR Lyr and Pop II Cepheid parallaxes to establish a Pop II PLR calibration.



Figure 1: A simulated Pop II Period-Luminosity relation built with absolute magnitude estimates from an M_V -[Fe/H] relation from Chaboyer *et al.* (1998) and an M_K - logP relation (Matsunaga *et al.* 2006) for RRL and Pop II Cepheids. The scatter is simulated, but representative of what we expect, based on our previous parallax work with Pop I Galactic Cepheids (Benedict *et al.* 2007). Data of this expected quality would yield a zero-point error of 0.04 magnitudes.



Pop II PLR will help determine distances to globual clusters

But wait! There's another way to do this

Two independent approaches would greatly improve the accuracy, right?

STScI-PRC07-18

Controversy!! The Parallax of the Pleiades - an issue that won't go away

This Distance Between Us



European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere





Pleiades Distance





03.06.2008

Gerard van Belle - HST FGS





Take-Home Lessons

- Careful consideration of sometimes unfamiliar error terms (eg. Lutz-Kelker bias) is necessary
- Careful consideration of reference frame is necessary
- Long-term stability (and **repeatability**) is necessary
- Comparison (and contradiction) with other methods needs to be carefully considered
- Interferometry provides measures at angular scales
 not available in traditional imagers
- These techniques should be applicable for astrometry with PRIMA







Don't Miss: HST FGS poster (Eder Martioli / Fritz Benedict)