
Résultats

Questionnaire 323166


Nombre d'enregistrement(s) pour cette requête :	82
Nombre total d'enregistrements pour ce questionnaire :	82
Pourcentage du total :	100.00%

Résumé du champ pour A01How often do you use ASPRO?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Never (A011)	1	2.78%
Rarely (A012)	8	22.22%
Often (A013)	16	44.44%
Very often (A014)	9	25.00%
Sans réponse	2	5.56%

Résumé du champ pour A01

How often do you use ASPRO?




Résumé du champ pour A02

What do you use it for?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
while preparing a proposal (SQ001)	28	84.85%
while preparing observations (SQ002)	31	93.94%
during observations (SQ003)	22	66.67%
during data validation / analysis (SQ004)	10	30.30%
at the time of writing publications (SQ005)	7	21.21%

Résumé du champ pour A02

What do you use it for?




Résumé du champ pour A03

Have you read the user manual?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A031)	14	42.42%
No (A032)	19	57.58%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A03

Have you read the user manual?




Résumé du champ pour A04

Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A041)	33	100.00%
No (A042)	0	0.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A04

Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?



Résumé du champ pour A05

What improvement(s) would you suggest?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	10	30.30%
Sans réponse	23	69.70%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
23	More functionalities and options for the use of user defined models.
33	Keep the good level of update to match ESO and CHARA evolution.
43	It could be link to my account on www.eso.org/p2
52	That the POPs entered manually for 6T configurations for MIRCX at CHARA be conserved when comparing delay available with the different 5T configurations.
66	It would be nice to be able to play with skewed Gaussian rings in the models.
68	-
75	Aspro2 is a great product ! Most probably the best tool to promote the use of optical interferometry ever built: a thousand congrats for that ! If I had to find something to improve I would say 1. The default habit for Aspro2 to generate a shortcut on the Desktop (Mac os X) every time it is launched is a little bit annoying since I like to keep all my JMMC codes in the same folder and dislike a cluttered desktop. 2. The current a2p interface is a little bit slow and clunky with little information on what is going on. I would love it to work with PIONIER OBs. But maybe it is a question of documentation.
76	It's perfect so far for me
84	No idea so far, it's already pretty good
90	When we enter the name of targets in the search box, it would be very useful if a predicted angular diameter could be automatically retrieved (along with the parallax and magnitude information that is retrieved).

Résumé du champ pour A06

What improvements would make you use this software?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	0	0.00%
Sans réponse	1	100.00%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
------------------	---------


Résumé du champ pour A21

How often do you use SearchCal?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Never (A211)	1	3.12%
Rarely (A212)	12	37.50%
Often (A213)	15	46.88%
Very often (A214)	4	12.50%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A21

How often do you use SearchCal?




Résumé du champ pour A22

What do you use it for?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Proposal Development (SQ201)	8	25.81%
Preparing for observations (SQ202)	29	93.55%
During observations (SQ203)	20	64.52%
During data validation / analysis (SQ204)	7	22.58%
At the time of writing publications (SQ205)	3	9.68%

Résumé du champ pour A22

What do you use it for?




Résumé du champ pour A23

Have you read the user manual?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A231)	8	25.81%
No (A232)	23	74.19%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A23

Have you read the user manual?




Résumé du champ pour A24**Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?**

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A241)	30	96.77%
No (A242)	1	3.23%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A24

Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?



Résumé du champ pour A25

What improvement(s) would you suggest?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	7	22.58%
Sans réponse	24	77.42%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
33	Minor: better preselection of interesting column. Minor: make the overall window size smaller by better optimisation of the space, naming...
52	A flag for those stars in the JMMC badcal list automatically or a link which can check for this
68	-
70	If I already have a calibrator chosen maybe a quick way of searching for the diameter of that one star?
75	Searchcal does the job in a very efficient way it is a precious tool. The only think I miss sometimes is some kind of info on what to do in case of searching for calibrators for a very bright object (e.g. negative magnitudes). This might not be a pure software problem and more a pedagogical one but I happen to me sometimes stuck with calibrator magnitudes differences higher than 4 now knowing if is ok to use them.
77	add the MATISSE catalog in SearchCal
90	It would be useful that when we select a column to order by (e.g. Vmag or Kmag), and then when we change the criteria for filtering the results (mag limit, spectral type etc) that the selection of ordering the column is maintained.

Résumé du champ pour A26

What improvements would make you use this software?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	0	0.00%
Sans réponse	1	100.00%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
------------------	---------


Résumé du champ pour A31

How often do you use OIFits Explorer?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Never (A311)	8	25.00%
Rarely (A312)	14	43.75%
Often (A313)	10	31.25%
Very often (A314)	0	0.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A31

How often do you use OIFits Explorer?




Résumé du champ pour A32

What do you use it for?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
while preparing a proposal (SQ301)	1	4.17%
while preparing observations (SQ302)	3	12.50%
during observations (SQ303)	2	8.33%
during data validation / analysis (SQ304)	22	91.67%
at the time of writing publications (SQ305)	7	29.17%

Résumé du champ pour A32

What do you use it for?




Résumé du champ pour A33

Have you read the user manual?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A331)	4	16.67%
No (A332)	20	83.33%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A33

Have you read the user manual?




Résumé du champ pour A34

Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A341)	19	79.17%
No (A342)	4	16.67%
Sans réponse	1	4.17%

Résumé du champ pour A34

Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?



Résumé du champ pour A35

What improvement(s) would you suggest?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	5	20.83%
Sans réponse	19	79.17%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
23	Keep the same scale (zoom) when changing from one oifits file to another. Better identify different files in the visualization.
33	The left part of the GUI is not ergonomic, and its logic is hard to understand: * One can select some items, not others. * Selection of "Files" and "Tables" are not presented the same way as "Target", "InsMode" and "Night". * Why 2 "explorers", one in the upper part and one in the lower part ? A very nice feature would be to be able to flag data.
68	-
75	This is the JMMC software I use the least often. This is mostly because I trust instrument builders have done the job of generating proper oifits writes. I guess this is more of a specialist tool.
76	I had problems of memory while exploring GRAVITY data

Résumé du champ pour A36**What improvements would make you use this software?**

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	1	12.50%
Sans réponse	7	87.50%


Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
90	I am not familiar with this software.

Résumé du champ pour A11**How often do you use LITPro?**

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Never (A111)	11	35.48%
Rarely (A112)	15	48.39%
Often (A113)	4	12.90%
Very often (A114)	1	3.23%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A11

How often do you use LITPro?




Résumé du champ pour A12

What do you use it for?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
while preparing a proposal (SQ101)	6	30.00%
while preparing observations (SQ102)	3	15.00%
during observations (SQ103)	2	10.00%
during data validation / analysis (SQ104)	19	95.00%
at the time of writing publications (SQ105)	5	25.00%

Résumé du champ pour A12

What do you use it for?




Résumé du champ pour A13

Have you read the user manual?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A131)	10	50.00%
No (A132)	10	50.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A13

Have you read the user manual?




Résumé du champ pour A14

Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A141)	16	80.00%
No (A142)	4	20.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A14

Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?



Résumé du champ pour A15

What improvement(s) would you suggest?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	10	50.00%
Sans réponse	10	50.00%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
32	ability to do various kind of fits is not comprehensive -- but that is understandable.
33	<p>This is the worst tool of JMMC, by far:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * The capability to explore the dataset is very incomplete (see OIFITS explorer!). * The provided models are "theoretical" and does not allow to deal with true-life user problems (e.g no bandwidth smearing, no "global minimum", no realistic uncertainties). <p>I believe the underlying problem is a wrong analysis: the difficult part of such a software is *not* the fitting aspect, but it is the quality of the packaging and the user experience, which requires to be actively listening/anticipating their needs. A good example is the CANDID package (https://github.com/amerand/CANDID), now used by a large majority of users for fitting binaries. This should/could have been the typical use-case of LITpro.</p>
40	<p>A command-line interface</p> <p>An offline / standalone version</p> <p>Multi-spectral fitting</p>
61	Really little improvements over the year. It's useful for a fast check but not usable at all for scientific analysis. I usually have to use specific model fitting including spectra or orbital solutions or time constraints. The interface is usually too complex
68	-
69	The program is a bit cumbersome to use. There is a huge lack of modeling of the spectral dimension of the data.
73	Some flexibility on the x axis might come handy, i.e. baseline length as an addition option for plotting. Few more color scheme options when plotting a modelled image might also be useful, although it is already great that you can save it as fits. Having an offline script where the user can set his/her own scripts to run different set of grids and initial parameters might be also handy in exploring the results.
75	<p>Is use Litpro as a quicklook pedagogical tool one whenever we are observing very simple objects and/or I am with students.</p> <p>I found the often the error bars generated by LitPro difficult to believe. I believe that LitPro suffers most of the availability of advanced software (R, Python, Matlab) that have many toolboxes with up-to date algorithm.</p>
76	The errors are not very clear
90	this is a comment that is relevant from a few years back, and may no longer be relevant. I do not use litpro today. I find the control of the figure display very uncomfortable. as far as I remember one must remove a point if we do not want the figure to display it.

Résumé du champ pour A16

What improvements would make you use this software?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	1	9.09%
Sans réponse	10	90.91%


Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
29	I would be nice to include data selection (along spectral axis and baseline length).

Résumé du champ pour A61How often do you use Olmaging?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Never (A611)	27	87.10%
Rarely (A612)	3	9.68%
Often (A613)	1	3.23%
Very often (A614)	0	0.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A61

How often do you use Olmaging?




Résumé du champ pour A62

What do you use it for?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
while preparing a proposal (SQ601)	0	0.00%
while preparing observations (SQ602)	0	0.00%
during observations (SQ603)	0	0.00%
during data validation / analysis (SQ604)	4	100.00%
at the time of writing publications (SQ605)	1	25.00%

Résumé du champ pour A62

What do you use it for?




Résumé du champ pour A63

Have you read the user manual?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A631)	2	50.00%
No (A632)	2	50.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A63

Have you read the user manual?




Résumé du champ pour A64

Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A641)	4	100.00%
No (A642)	0	0.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A64

Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?



Résumé du champ pour A65

What improvement(s) would you suggest?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	2	50.00%
Sans réponse	2	50.00%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
33	It is important to feedback the quality of the fit at the end of the image reconstruction. Meaning a comparison between the reconstructed OI_VIS2, T3... and the one in the OIFITS file.
73	The user manual needs significant update on what the parameters mean and what is the best way to select a value for them. Maybe the addition of polychromatic image reconstruction and option to chose 1 spectral channel with higher accuracy on the wavelength range.

Résumé du champ pour A66

What improvements would make you use this software?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	6	22.22%
Sans réponse	21	77.78%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
32	not sure what this is.
66	Some ideas of improvements: -Implementing more algorithms -Making it easy to perform grids on various parameters -Implementing a method to evaluate the artifacts in the image -Providing default starting images (from litpro models for example)
68	-
75	I have not used it.
76	I still did not have the chance to use it. I cannot comment.
90	na


Résumé du champ pour A51

How often do you use AMBER and/or PIONIER data reduction software?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Never (A511)	19	61.29%
Rarely (A512)	4	12.90%
Often (A513)	7	22.58%
Very often (A514)	1	3.23%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A51

How often do you use AMBER and/or PIONIER data reduction software?




Résumé du champ pour A53

Have you read the user manual?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A531)	12	100.00%
No (A532)	0	0.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A53

Have you read the user manual?




Résumé du champ pour A54

Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A541)	9	75.00%
No (A542)	3	25.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A54

Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?



Résumé du champ pour A55

What improvement(s) would you suggest?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	3	25.00%
Sans réponse	9	75.00%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
68	-
69	I do not use the GUI, only command lines. They work fine.
75	<p>I don't understand the question about the GUI since there is almost none for both DRS. The AMBER DRS complexity is discouraging (so many parameters to adjust or to blindly follow). I really hope someone (ESO ?) will be able one day to reduce the archive data for the community.</p> <p>PIONIER's DRS is more straightforward but I miss a proper documentation of the calibration step. I like the presence of reduced data in oidb.</p>

Résumé du champ pour A56

What improvements would make you use this software?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	1	5.26%
Sans réponse	18	94.74%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
90	na


Résumé du champ pour A41

How often do you use OiDB?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Never (A311)	17	54.84%
Rarely (A412)	6	19.35%
Often (A413)	8	25.81%
Very often (A414)	0	0.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A41

How often do you use OiDB?




Résumé du champ pour A42

What do you use it for?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
while preparing a proposal (SQ401)	11	78.57%
while preparing observations (SQ402)	2	14.29%
during observations (SQ403)	1	7.14%
during data validation / analysis (SQ404)	9	64.29%
at the time of writing publications (SQ405)	6	42.86%

Résumé du champ pour A42

What do you use it for?




Résumé du champ pour A43

Have you read the user manual?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A431)	0	0.00%
No (A432)	14	100.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A43

Have you read the user manual?




Résumé du champ pour A44

Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A441)	14	100.00%
No (A442)	0	0.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A44

Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?



Résumé du champ pour A45

What improvement(s) would you suggest?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	2	14.29%
Sans réponse	12	85.71%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
33	More visual feedback would be interesting, to avoid having to load the file to check its content.
75	Great great tool ! I hope most of the instruments data will end up in this archive.

Résumé du champ pour A46

What improvements would make you use this software?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	0	0.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%


Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
------------------	---------

Résumé du champ pour A71How often do you use OIFits Validator?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Never (A711)	22	73.33%
Rarely (A712)	7	23.33%
Often (A713)	1	3.33%
Very often (A714)	0	0.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A71

How often do you use OIFits Validator?




Résumé du champ pour A72

What do you use it for?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
while preparing a proposal (SQ701)	0	0.00%
while preparing observations (SQ702)	0	0.00%
during observations (SQ703)	0	0.00%
during data validation / analysis (SQ704)	7	87.50%
at the time of writing publications (SQ705)	1	12.50%

Résumé du champ pour A72

What do you use it for?




Résumé du champ pour A73

Have you read the user manual?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A731)	0	0.00%
No (A732)	8	100.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A73

Have you read the user manual?




Résumé du champ pour A74

Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A741)	8	100.00%
No (A742)	0	0.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A74

Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?



Résumé du champ pour A75

What improvement(s) would you suggest?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	1	12.50%
Sans réponse	7	87.50%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
33	Great that such tool exists !

Résumé du champ pour A76

What improvements would make you use this software?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	2	9.09%
Sans réponse	20	90.91%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
68	-
90	na


Résumé du champ pour A81

How often do you use BadCal?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Never (A811)	18	60.00%
Rarely (A812)	9	30.00%
Often (A813)	3	10.00%
Very often (A814)	0	0.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A81

How often do you use BadCal?




Résumé du champ pour A82

What do you use it for?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
while preparing a proposal (SQ801)	2	16.67%
while preparing observations (SQ802)	6	50.00%
during observations (SQ803)	6	50.00%
during data validation / analysis (SQ804)	7	58.33%
at the time of writing publications (SQ805)	1	8.33%

Résumé du champ pour A82

What do you use it for?




Résumé du champ pour A83

Have you read the user manual?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A831)	1	8.33%
No (A832)	11	91.67%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A83

Have you read the user manual?




Résumé du champ pour A84

Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A841)	11	91.67%
No (A842)	1	8.33%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A84

Are you satisfied with the graphical user interface?



Résumé du champ pour A85

What improvement(s) would you suggest?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	4	33.33%
Sans réponse	8	66.67%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
32	can this be integrated somehow with searchCal?
33	Is it included into Search Cal, to avoid picking up the same bad cal ?
70	Very limited database, needs more entries
75	this a very simple tool, no need for more sophistication

Résumé du champ pour A86

What improvements would make you use this software?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	1	5.56%
Sans réponse	17	94.44%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
68	-


Résumé du champ pour A90

Do you use the interoperability features of our softwares (i.e., communication between e.g. APRO2 and SearchCal) ?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A901)	14	50.00%
No (A902)	14	50.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A90

Do you use the interoperability features of our softwares (i.e., communication between e.g. APRO2 and SearchCal) ?




Résumé du champ pour A91**Have you ever contacted User Support?**

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A911)	17	60.71%
No (A912)	11	39.29%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A91

Have you ever contacted User Support?




Résumé du champ pour A92

(User Support) Are you satisfied with the time it took to get a reponse?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A921)	16	94.12%
No (A922)	1	5.88%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A92

(User Support) Are you satisfied with the time it took to get a reponse?




Résumé du champ pour A93

(User Support) Are you satisfied the quality of the response?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A931)	16	94.12%
No (A932)	1	5.88%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A93

(User Support) Are you satisfied the quality of the response?




Résumé du champ pour A94

Have you found answers to your questions in :

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
the website and software documentation (SQ901)	18	64.29%
the integrated help in each software package (SQ902)	14	50.00%
nowhere (SQ903)	4	14.29%

Résumé du champ pour A94

Have you found answers to your questions in :




Résumé du champ pour A95

Do you use the OLBIN website to search for and access publications within the optical/IR interferometry community ?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A951)	11	39.29%
No (A952)	17	60.71%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A95

Do you use the OLBIN website to search for and access publications within the optical/IR interferometry community ?




Résumé du champ pour A96

Do you like the JMMC website?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A961)	26	92.86%
No (A962)	2	7.14%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A96

Do you like the JMMC website?



Résumé du champ pour A97

In your opinion, how could it be improved?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	2	7.14%
Sans réponse	26	92.86%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
23	The JMMC website is fine, but needs a more modern design
90	I am not very familiar with it. I use some of the tools from the website, but that's it. the website design itself could be much improved, but I think it is a problem of the imposed software for developing the website. e.g. compare to some US websites.


Résumé du champ pour A98

Do you use your own software to prepare, reduce and/or analyze data :

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A981)	23	82.14%
No (A982)	5	17.86%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A98

Do you use your own software to prepare, reduce and/or analyze data :



Résumé du champ pour A99

If yes, for which purpose and in which context?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	19	82.61%
Sans réponse	4	17.39%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
29	Mainly for the analysis (Python scripts).
32	I use jmmc tools exclusively for obs planning and calibrators.
33	Fitting data.
40	Statistical analysis of interferometric data Advanced data analysis
43	to prepare, reduce and/or analyze my data
46	From making graphics for proposals to reduction of the data.
52	I use my own software to analyse and view OIFITS data
60	mmc analysis
58	For data reduction and model fitting.
61	Specific pipeline. Needs for specific model fitting tools
65	for AMBER data reduction
68	AMBER data analysis (frame selection, V2-OPD attenuation correction)
69	I wrote softwares that duplicate most of JMMC offering, but they are all command line based. I enjoy a lot ASPRO and SearchCal interfaces.
70	For almost all reduction and analysis we sue our own software as it is more flexible to our specific need, and often much faster too. JMMC tools are mostly used for planning and carrying out observations only
75	Oifits data analysis and fitting.
76	I have my own codes to calculate intensity and visibilities from radiative transfer models
77	MATISSE data
83	I use custom software for data analysis and modelling (binary stars, diameters, and circumstellar disks).
90	mostly analysing the processed v2, fitting angular diameters.

Résumé du champ pour A9A

Which new type of tools / services / features would you like the JMMC to offer and support ?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	6	21.43%
Sans réponse	22	78.57%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
23	Other tools for data analysis and modelling.
33	Mostly I would like JMMC to bring all softwares to the quality of Aspro2. If not possible, then to focus on some and bring them to the next level.
40	An archive of all (VLT) interferometric data that includes interferometric properties (baseline / position angle / coherence time / other quality parameters) that cannot be queried via ESO's archive.
61	Much more evolved model fitting tools, according to the modern needs with respect to the new instruments.
69	A powerful tool, like CASA for ALMA, which would replace LitPRO: fast and scriptable, accounting for the spectral dimension, to either reconstruct images and/or do modeling of visibilities. With full support of GRAVITY and MATISSE
75	A robust interactive tool for the calibration of the transfer function tool that would input raw oifits files.


Résumé du champ pour A9B

Have you developed tools that could be useful for the community and that you would like to share through the JMMC ?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A9B1)	8	28.57%
No (A9B2)	20	71.43%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A9B

Have you developed tools that could be useful for the community and that you would like to share through the JMMC ?



Résumé du champ pour A9C

If yes, what kind of software?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Réponse	8	100.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Identifiant (ID)	Réponse
23	Physical models
32	IDL libraries
33	MIRCX pipeline
40	Tools for MIDI data analysis (https://github.com/astroleo/miditools) A tool to compute visibilities from a given FITS image (https://github.com/astroleo/img2vis) Scripts to parse / download the ESO database and insert into a local SQLite database (https://github.com/astroleo/esodb)
48	GRAVITY python tools
61	model fitting
69	modeling tool for visibilities, including spectral modeling
77	MATISSE catalog


Résumé du champ pour A9D

Are you aware that the JMMC will soon support face to face data reduction for VLTl instruments? (link <http://www.jmmc.fr/suv>)

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A9D1)	12	42.86%
No (A9D2)	16	57.14%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A9D

Are you aware that the JMMC will soon support face to face data reduction for VLTl instruments? (link <http://www.jmmc.fr/suv>)




Résumé du champ pour A9F

Would you like to contribute to the development of JMMC software?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A9F1)	12	42.86%
No (A9F2)	16	57.14%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A9F

Would you like to contribute to the development of JMMC software?




Résumé du champ pour A9E

Do you want these software to be available on a cooperative development platform (github) ?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A9E1)	23	82.14%
No (A9E2)	5	17.86%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A9E

Do you want these software to be available on a cooperative development platform (github) ?




Résumé du champ pour A9G

Do you think that the JMMC should have accounts in social media ?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes, on Twitter (A9G1)	7	25.00%
Yes, on FaceBook (A9G2)	3	10.71%
Yes, on both (A9G3)	4	14.29%
No (A9G4)	14	50.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A9G

Do you think that the JMMC should have accounts in social media ?




Résumé du champ pour A9H

Do you acknowledge the JMMC in your publications when you made use of its services?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
Yes (A9H1)	26	92.86%
No (A9H2)	2	7.14%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A9H

Do you acknowledge the JMMC in your publications when you made use of its services?



Résumé du champ pour A9I

If not, why?

Réponse	Décompte	Pourcentage
I do not want (A9I1)	0	0.00%
I did not find the acknowledgment formula in the website (A9I2)	2	100.00%
Sans réponse	0	0.00%

Résumé du champ pour A9I

If not, why?

