Introduction to Visibility (and model fitting tips)

Euro Summer School Circumstellar disks and planets at very high angular resolution 28 may-8 June 2007, Porto (Portugal)

Florentin MILLOUR

(Max-Planck Institut für Radioastronomie)

based on the presentation of J.P. Berger & D. Segransan at the Goutelas Summer school (2006)

What is "visibility" ?

Practical application of the Van-Cittert / Zernike theorem:



The VCZ theorem links the intensity distribution of an object in the plane of the sky (in the far field) to the complex visibility measured in the array plane.

$$V(u,v) = rac{\int \int I(lpha,eta) \exp^{-2i\pi(lpha u+eta v)} dlpha deta}{\int \int I(lpha,eta) dlpha deta}$$

This relation is a normalized **Fourier transform** (i.e. total flux does not matter).

Spatial frequency coordinates $u=B_x / \lambda$, $v=B_y / \lambda$ where B_x and B_y stand for projected baselines coordinates on the x and y axes of telescope

Imaging and visibility

Example : **resolved binary star** observed at the Special Astronomical Observatory (Zelentchouk)



Imaging and visibility

Example : **resolved binary star** observed at the Special Astronomical Observatory (Zelentchouk)



Imaging and visibility

Example : **resolved binary star** observed at the Special Astronomical Observatory (Zelentchouk)



Long-baseline optical/IR interferometry



- Single-dish telescope : $0 < F_{ii} < \alpha D / \lambda$, $\alpha \sim 1$
- 2T Interferometer : $F_{ij} = 0$ and B / λ => Only one (or very few) spatial frequency is scanned at once by an interferometer 28/05/2007 : Introduction to visibilities, 6





What visibility with interferometry ?

Example : **resolved binary star** at Special Astronomical Observatory (Zelentchouk)



What visibility with interferometry ?

Example : **resolved binary star** at Special Astronomical Observatory (Zelentchouk)



What visibility with interferometry ?

Example : **resolved binary star** at Special Astronomical Observatory (Zelentchouk)



This session

is about what you can do with that ...



Simple first step : parametric analysis using basic visibility functions.

Model fitting

Basic issues of interpreting visibilities directly

Model fitting in the Fourier plane domain is attractive:

 Domain where interferometric measurements are made
 => errors easier to take into account (ex: Gaussian noise)

• When (U,V) plane sampling is poor (almost always the case)

 Is better when no imaging is possible (ex: variable source)

 Realistic in the VLTI AMBER and MIDI contexts

TENTATIVE OUTLINE

 Modeling visibilities: principles.

- Some useful basic functions.
- Practical issues.
- Conclusion

Ad-hoc modeling

Allows you to get a first idea of what you have observed!

Use Fourier transform properties
Use basic intensity distribution functions

Important first step towards modelling with real physical models

Fourier transform properties:

- Addition $FT{f(x,y) + g(x,y)} = F(u,v) + G(u,v)$
- Convolution $FT{f(x,y) \times g(x,y)} = F(u,v).G(u,v)$
- Shift $FT\{f(x x_0, y y_0) = F(u, v) \exp[2\pi i(ux_0 + vy_0)]\}$
- Similarity $FT{f(ax, by)} = \frac{1}{|ab|}F(u/a, v/a)$

Point source function



Binary star

Use: ... binary stars

$$A\delta(x,y) + B\delta(x - sx, y - sy)$$
 with $s = \sqrt{sx^2 + sy^2}$



Binary star



Binary star (exemple 1)

Binary star visibility curve as a function of spatial frequency

(red = model, black = AMBER/VLTI observation)



Binary star (exemple 2)

Rotation of stars along the orbit and of projected baseline makes the changes in visibilities and closure phase

(IOTA observations, Segransan 2006, Goutelas summer school)









time (day

Binary star (exemple 3)



Spectrally varying flux ratio makes it working !

Gaussian brightness distribution.

Use: Estimate for angular sizes of envelopes, disks, etc.





Uniform disk

Use: aproximation for brightness distribution of photospheric disk.

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{I}(\mathbf{r}) &= 4/(\pi a^2), \text{ifr} = \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^2 + \mathbf{y}^2} \leq \mathbf{a}/2\\ \mathrm{I}(\mathbf{r}) &= 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{split}$$
$$F(\rho) = \frac{J_1(\pi a \rho)}{\pi a \rho} \text{with} \rho = \sqrt{\mathbf{u}^2 + \mathbf{v}^2} \end{split}$$

a = diameter Sophistication of the model I= f(r), limb darkening Cf Hankel transformation



Uniform disk

Use: aproximation for brightness distribution of photospheric disk.



Uniform disk (example 1)



ESO PR Photo 30e/01 (5 November 2001)

Determination of

diameter of ψ Phe

Second lobe points

with VLTI/VINCI

uniform disk

are the most

constraining

Uniform disk (example 2)



- Determination of uniform diameter of Archenar (VLTI/VINCI)
- Different positions angles shows evidence for flattening due to to fast rotation

Dominiciano da Souza et al A&A 2003

Ring Use: complex centro-symmetric structure



Ring (example)

RS Oph aspherical Nova explosion

Chesneau et al., A&A 2007



Circularly symmetric object

e.g: an accretion disk made of a finite sum of annulii with different effective temperatures

Circularly symmetric component I (r) centered at the origin of the (x,y) coordinate system.



The relationship between brightness distribution and visibility is a Hankel function

$$V(\rho) = 2\pi \int_0^\infty I(r) J_0(2\pi r\rho) r dr$$

with and
$$r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \qquad \rho = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$$

Circularly symmetric object (example)

 Optically thick wind around η Car (Hillier models gives intensity profiles)

Weigelt et al., A&A 2007



Pixel

Basic brick of an image !

X



$V = \frac{\sin(\pi x I)\sin(\pi y L)}{\pi^2 x y I L}$

Resolved multi-structure

Use: Describing any multicomponent structure.



Resolved bi-structure (example)

Binary made of two resolved photometric disks: d=3mas, PA: 35deg



The modelling process

Parameters: α , β , γ , ... $\rightarrow I(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \alpha, \beta, ...)$ Model $V(u,v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I(x,y) \exp(-2\pi i (xu+yv))) dxdy$ Instrument / Sparse sampling Observing model atmosphere $\{..., V(u_i, v_i), ...\}i = 1..n \ \rho(t, \lambda), \phi_{\delta}(t, \lambda)$ Error Observation Error $\{..., V'(u_k, v_k), ...\}k = 1..n$ $\epsilon(u, v)$ Data

• Minimization $\chi^2 = f(V(u_i, v_i), V'(u_k, v_k), \epsilon'(u, v))$ find $min(\chi^2)$

Pushing the limits

Degeneracy at small baselines

If the object is barely resolved the exact brightness distribution is not crucial the dependance is quadratic for all the basic functions: visibility accuracy is mandatory



Detecting extended emission



Small diameter estimation

Model fitting can also be considered as a deconvolution process: sizes estimates or positional uncertainties can be smaller than the canonical resolution (the "beam" size") => **super resolution**



First measurements of M dwarfs stars diameters (Segransan et al,2003).

Look how large visibilities are (i.e. how small the source is). No need for zero visibility measurements to retrieve diameters

Conclusion

Visibility study without imaging can be efficient.

✓The (u,v) coverage strategy is different from imaging. Limited allocated time means (very) limited (u,v) points.

✓ Use basic models in order to prepare your observation and determine what is the more constraining configuration.

✓ Visibility space is the natural place to understand the errors of the final result.

 Always start by describing your observations in terms of basic functions. It brings quantitative information useful for further more detailed computations.