Selected points - 1st week

EuroSummer School

Observation and data reduction with the Very Large Telescope Interferometer

Goutelas, FranceJune 4-16, 2006

Guy Perrin Observatoire de Paris10 June 2006

Disclaimer

This is not a good lecture !

$$
\psi(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi(t) e^{-2i\pi ft} dt
$$

Frequency f and time t are conjugated variables by the Fourier transform.

Angular coordinates are natural when the source is at a large distance from the diaphragm (or pupil). This is in particular true in astronomy for which objects are located at quasi infinite distances.

Spatial frequencies are not so obvious but this notion is not so difficult. A spatial frequency is the reciprocal of a characteristic scale of an object :

Spatial frequency coordinates have reciprocal dimensions with respect to coordinates in direct space (m \rightarrow m⁻¹).

Direct coordinates and Fourier space coordinates are *conjugated* by the Fourier transform.

Fraunhofer Diffraction

Hypotheses :

- 1. Small diffraction angles : $\cos \chi \approx 1$
- 2. Diaphragm and screen are small w.r.t. $z : 1/s \approx 1/z$
- 3. *z* much larger than the Rayleigh distance: z_R = $\frac{(x_0^2 + y_0^2)_{\text{max}}}{\lambda}$

$$
\psi_1(x_1, y_1) \approx \frac{e^{\frac{2i\pi}{\lambda^2}}}{i\lambda z} e^{\frac{i\pi}{\lambda z} [x_1^2 + y_1^2]} \iint_{diaph} \Psi_0(x_0, y_0) e^{-\frac{2i\pi}{\lambda z} [x_0 x_1 + y_0 y_1]} dx_0 dy_0
$$

Traditionally, variables are changed into :

Pyd

\nPyd

\n
$$
\begin{cases}\n\begin{cases}\nu = \frac{x_0}{\lambda} & \text{(rad}^{-1} \text{ or arcsec}^{-1}) \\
v = \frac{y_0}{\lambda}\n\end{cases} \\
\begin{cases}\n\alpha = \frac{x_1}{z} & \text{(rad or arcsec)} \\
\beta = \frac{y_1}{z}\n\end{cases}\n\end{cases}
$$

$$
\psi_1(\alpha,\beta) \approx \frac{e^{i\pi \frac{z}{\lambda} \left[2+\alpha^2+\beta^2\right]}}{i\frac{z}{\lambda}} \iint_{diaph} \Psi_0(\lambda u, \lambda v) e^{-2i\pi [\alpha u+\beta v]} du dv
$$

The diffracted field is proportional to the Fourier Transform of the field in the pupil plane :

$$
\psi(\alpha,\beta) \propto TF\{\Psi(u,v)\}
$$

Point source response:

$$
I(\alpha,\beta) = \langle \left| \Psi(\alpha,\beta) \right|^2 \rangle_t \equiv \left| \Psi(\alpha,\beta) \right|^2
$$

Extended object:

 $O(\alpha_0,\beta_0)$

Pupil functionPImage plane

 $Im(\alpha, \beta) = \iint O(\alpha_0, \beta_0) J(\alpha)$ $\iint O(\alpha_0, \beta_0) I(\alpha - \alpha_0, \beta - \beta_0) d\alpha_0 d\beta_0 = O*I(\alpha, \beta)$

The image is the convolution of the source spatial intensity distribution by the pointspread function

Image spectrum :

The optical system is a **low-pass filter** with a cut-off frequency D/λ

Fourier plane sampling

© C.A. Haniff

(u,v) tracks

 (u,v) tracks are ellipses whose center is on the v axis. $(u \otimes$ East, $v \otimes$ North)

General equation for (u,v) tracks :

$$
u^{2}+\left(\frac{v-(B_{z}/\lambda)\cos\delta}{\sin\delta}\right)^{2}=\frac{B_{x}^{2}+B_{y}^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}
$$

 B_x , B_y and B_z are the coordinates of the baseline vector projected onto the axes pointing towards East, North and the meridian, respectively

Particular cases :

- $\delta = 0^{\circ}$: (u, v) tracks are straight lines parallel to the u axis
- $\delta = 90^{\circ}$: (u, v) tracks are circles centered on the origin

Examples of (u,v) coverages at IOTA (Arizona)

Three 45 cm relocatable siderostats

Lattitude = 31.4°

Hour angle range : -4h , +4h

Examples of (u,v) coverages at IOTA (Arizona)

Three 45 cm relocatable siderostats

Lattitude = 31.4°

Hour angle range : -4h , +4h

Examples of (u,v) coverages at IOTA (Arizona)

Three 45 cm relocatable siderostats

Lattitude = 31.4°

Hour angle range : -4h , +4h

Coherence of light waves

Let $E(\vec{P}, t)$ be a light wave.

As for random variables, a correlation can be defined between fields at different times or at different locations.

In the first case, this is called *temporal coherence*: Corr(E(\vec{P}, t), $E($ $\vec{P}, t + \tau$) =E($\vec{P},t)-\Big\langle E(\vec{r})\Big\rangle$ $\Big(E(\vec{P},t) - \Big\langle E(\vec{P},t)\Big\rangle \Big) \Big(E(\vec{P},t+\tau) - \Big\langle E(\vec{P},t+\tau)\Big\rangle$ $\left(E(\vec{P},t+\tau){-}\left\langle E(\vec{P},t+\tau)\right\rangle \right)$ *E($\vec{P},t)-\langle E($ $\vec{P},t)$ $\sqrt[2^n]{|E($ $\vec{P}, t + \tau$) – $\left\langle E(t) \right\rangle$ $\vec{P}, t + \tau$ 2 =E(\vec{P}, t). E * \int $\vec{P}, t + \tau$ E($\vec{P},t)$ $\sqrt[2^n]{|E($ $\vec{P}, t + \tau$ 2

In the second case, it is **spatial coherence**: Corr(E(\vec{P}, t), $E($ $\vec{P} + \Delta \vec{P}$ $(\vec{P},t))$ =E(\vec{P}, t). E * \int $\vec{P} + \Delta \vec{P}$ $\vec{P},t)$ E($\vec{P},t)$ $\sqrt[2^n]{|E($ $\vec{P} + \Delta \vec{P}, t$ 2

Temporal coherence

Measuring the temporal coherence of the electric field means measuring:

E($\left\langle \vec{P},t\right\rangle \!\!.E^*(\vec{P},t+\tau)\!\right\rangle_{\Delta t>>1/\left\vert \nu\right\rangle }$

How shall we do?

At optical wavelengths?

The Michelson interferometer

The Michelson interferometer(polychromatic case)

Fields at different wavelengths are not coherent.

The interferogram measured with the Michelson interferometer is therefore the sum of mochromatic interferograms in the band:

$$
I_{tot}(\tau) = \int_{\Delta\lambda} I_{tot}(\lambda, \tau) d\lambda = \int_{\Delta\sigma} I_{tot}(\sigma, \tau) d\sigma
$$
 ($\sigma = 1/\lambda$ is the wavenumber)
= 2I + 2Re $\left[\int_{\Delta\sigma} I(\sigma) \exp(2i\pi\sigma \cdot c\tau) d\sigma \right]$

The complex degree of coherence in the polychromatic case is therefore: (proof: Wiener-Khintchine theorem):

$$
\mathcal{J}(\tau) = \frac{\int_{\Delta\sigma} I(\sigma) \exp(-2i\pi\sigma \cdot \tau) d\sigma}{\int_{\Delta\sigma} I(\sigma) d\sigma}
$$

The interferogram of the Michelson set-up therefore allows to measure the spectrum of the source \rightarrow principle of the *Fourier transform spectrometer*

Spatial coherence

Measuring the spatial coherence of the field means measuring:

$$
\left\langle E(\vec{P},t).E^*(\vec{P}+\Delta\vec{P},t)\right\rangle_{\Delta t>>1/\nu}
$$

How shall we do?

At optical wavelengths?

The Young slit experiment

The spatial interferometer(extended source)

The source is extended and uncoherent (i.e. the fields emitted by individual points are not correlated).

The intensity of the field in the focal plane is:

$$
I_{tot}(\vec{B}, \tau) = \int_{source} \left\langle \left| E(P, \vec{S}, t) + E(P + \vec{B}, \vec{S}, t + \tau) \right|^2 \right\rangle d^2 \vec{S}
$$

= $2 \int_{source} I(\vec{S}, \lambda) d^2 \vec{S} + 2 \text{Re} \left(\int_{source} I(\vec{S}, \lambda) \exp \left[i \left(\omega \tau - 2 \pi \vec{S} \cdot \frac{\vec{B}}{\lambda} \right) \right] d^2 \vec{S}$
= $2 \int_{source} I(\vec{S}, \lambda) d^2 \vec{S} + 2 \left(\int_{source} I(\vec{S}, \lambda) d^2 \vec{S} \right) \text{Re} \left(\mu_{12}(\vec{B}) \exp(i \omega \tau) \right)$

Which yields for the complex coherence factor:

$$
\mu_{12}(\vec{B}) = \frac{\int I(\vec{S}, \lambda) \exp\left[-2i\pi \vec{S} \cdot \frac{\vec{B}}{\lambda}\right] d^2 \vec{S}}{\int \int I(\vec{S}, \lambda) d^2 \vec{S}}
$$

• This result is known as the **Zernike-Van Cittert theorem** : the complex coherence factor is equal to the Fourier transform of the spatial intensity distribution of the source.

• The conjugated coordinates are the angular direction S and the spatial frequency \mathbf{B}/λ .

• Measuring a value of the complex coherence factor yields a value of the motion and the motion of the motion of \mathcal{L} spatial spectrum of the source.

• The *interferometer* is therefore a *band-pass filter* (as opposed to a single $\frac{1}{2}$ pupil which is a low-pass filter) giving access to the very high spatial frequencies of the source.

• Measuring the complex coherence factor at several spatial frequencies allows
to warters the matial intensity distribution of the second to restore the spatial intensity distribution of the source.

-> aperture synthesis technique

The complex coherence factor is usually called the complex visibility:

• the modulus is the fringe contrast of the interference pattern

• the phase is derived from the position of the central fringe with respect to the gas particular difference (gase and an grad). to the zero optical path difference (zero opd or zopd): $2\pi\delta$

 $\varphi =$

λ

Two examples of visibility functions

θ

Uniform disk: $I(S) = \Pi(\frac{S}{\theta})$ with θ the angular diameter. The visibility function is equal to: \vec{S}) = Π ($\, S \,$ θ) V(\vec{B} =2 $2J_{1}$ πθB λ $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ $\frac{1}{\pi\theta B} \frac{1}{\pi\theta B}$ λ

Visibility function (modulus) :

Binary star: I The visibility function equals: \vec{S}) = δ \vec{S} – $-\frac{1}{2}$ $\vec{\alpha}$ $\left(\vec{S} - \frac{1}{2}\vec{\alpha}\right) + \delta\left(\vec{S} + \frac{1}{2}\vec{\alpha}\right)$ V(\vec{B}) = cos $\frac{\pi \vec{\alpha}}{4}$. $\frac{\vec{\chi}.\vec{B}}{\lambda}$ \int $\left(\frac{\vec{\pi\alpha}.\vec{B}}{\lambda}\right)$

Visibility function (modulus) for $B\!/\!\alpha$:

α

Quiz

The issue of atmospheric turbulenceSpatial coherence loss

We lose twice:

1. The object is apparently more extended hence less spatially coherent.

2. The object looks different in the two telescopes \bullet poorer correlation.

AO corrected image

Atmosphere Corrupts the Phase

The absolute phase is ill-defined

© J.D. Monnier

Differential Phases with VLTI-AMBER:What might this be?

The "Closure Phase" Is Not Corrupted

© J.D. Monnier

How Much Phase Information?

Closure Phases are not all independent from each other.

Number of Closure Phases
 $\binom{N}{3} = \frac{(N)(N-1)(N-2)}{(3)(2)},$ $\binom{N}{2} = \frac{(N)(N-1)}{2}$

 n

 $\overline{2}$

Number of Independent Closure Phases

$$
\binom{N-1}{2} = \frac{(N-1)(N-2)}{2}
$$

Summary of a few Important Points

The closure phases are independent of all **telescope-specific** phase errors.

The closure phases are all 0 or 180 degrees for sources with **point** symmetry.

Object must be resolved (\sim half fringe spacing B/ λ) to have non-zero CP

- $-$ CP \propto (baseline)^3
- -- Phase ∝ (baseline)

Based on J.D. Monnier

Calibrator Sources

Formally, anything can be a calibration source.

However, assessing our measurement *accuracies* we must account for uncertainties in our ability to predict the properties of the calibration source:

$$
V_{trg}^{2} = (V_{model-cal}^{2} / V_{meas-cal}^{2})V_{meas-trg}^{2}
$$

$$
\delta V_{trg}^{2} \propto (\partial V_{model-cal}^{2} / \partial model) \sigma_{model}
$$

Traditionally (realistically) this has meant that we choose calibrators whose properties are as simple as possible $-\frac{\text{single}}{\text{stars}}$!

 $\delta V_{\text{trg}}^2 \propto (\partial V_{\text{model}-\text{cal}}^2/\partial \Theta) \sigma_{\Theta}$

Choose star diameter as small as possible as then the derivative is 0

© A. Boden